

## Review sheet

The peer-review process is anonymous.

### 1) Checklist for reviewers

#	Question to answer	yes	no
1	Does the paper represent an original research?		
2	Does the title accurately represent the paper?		
3	Does the abstract shortly and accurately describe the paper?		
4	Is the paper written with clear sentences?		
5	Is the grammar of the text accurate?		
6	Does the word usage avoid sarcastic remarks that hurt person(s) on any level?		
7	Are the sections of the paper organized in a logical manner?		
8	Is the method appropriate to the field of research presented in the paper?		
9	Are the references relevant to the subject of the paper?		
10	Are all the sections relevant, necessary, and do they include all knowledge important to understand the paper in its totality?		
11	Does the conclusion rely on the data presented?		
12	Are the figures relevant and appropriate?		

Check the "yes" or "no" boxes by clicking on it. To withdraw checking, click again.

## 2) Remarks for the first section

If you checked "NO" to any of the questions above, you CANNOT suggest to accept the paper for publication (see point 4 below)! Please specify accurately the parts of the paper to be revised.

Each specification must contain the following informations, in the following order: question number of section one, page number, paragraph number, remarks. Please, start a new paragraph for each specification pressing the Enter button.

If the specifications are exceed the boundaries of the space provided, the text box will expand automatically with the help of a scroll-down function.

Example:

question 7, p 5, paragr. 3. This section is not organized in a logical manner, because the conclusion comes first.

question 7, p 10, paragr. 2. This section is not organized in a logical manner, because the data are not referenced, and the data are irrelevant.

question 11, p 4, paragr. 5. The author does not enlighten us the link between his/her lithic attribute data and the conclusion presented in line six.

## Remarks

---

### 3) Reviewer's comments (optional)

If the comments exceed the boundaries of the space provided, the text box will expand automatically with the help of a scroll-down function.

#### Comments

---

### 4) Reviewer's opinion (please check one)

Accept

Minor revision

Major revision

Reject

### 5) If you suggest to revise the paper, please indicate if you are willing to review the revised paper!

Yes

No

**Date**

**Reviewer's signature (if printed)**

Thank you for participating in the peer-review process of LITIKUM!